Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	227	09/546,573	HOLTEN-ANDERSEN ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.		(3)	
(2) <u>Stanislaus Aksman</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 June 2005		Time:	
	⊡ Applica No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 6, 8, 11, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-51, 53, and 54 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The separate re	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
			i
·			
·	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Mr. Aksman to propose entry of an examiner's amendment canceling withdrawn claims 6, 8, 11, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 45, 47-50, 53, and 54, amending claim 51 to correct typographical error, and replacing the abstract. Mr. Alsman authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.